Analytical calculations of four-neutrino oscillations in matter

Y. Kamo^a, Y. Higasida, J.-I. Ichihara, S.-I. Kubota, S. Tokuo, S. Yajima

Department of Physics, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan

Received: 9 October 2002 / Revised version: 27 December 2002 / Published online: 14 March 2003 – © Springer-Verlag / Società Italiana di Fisica 2003

Abstract. We analytically derive the transition probabilities for four-neutrino oscillations in matter. The time-evolution operator giving the neutrino oscillations is expressed by a finite sum of terms up to the third power of the Hamiltonian in a matrix form, using the Cayley–Hamilton theorem. The result of the computation for the probabilities in some mass patterns tells us that it is actually difficult to observe the resonance between one of the three active neutrinos and the fourth (sterile) neutrino near the earth, even if the fourth neutrino exists.

1 Introduction

A neutrino oscillation is a transition among neutrino flavors. Several types of the observations tell us that neutrino oscillations occur [1-8]. They are classified in solar, atmospheric and LSND experiments.

The mass squared differences are the parameters showing the neutrino oscillations. In order to describe three kinds of neutrino experiments within one framework, three kinds of mass squared differences are needed. Therefore we consider the four-neutrino oscillation, where the fourth neutrino does not have the weak interaction. Three active neutrino flavors (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) interact with leptons in the weak interaction. So the fourth neutrino is called a sterile neutrino (ν_s).

Recent analysis of experiments and observations disfavors the four neutrino flavors [9,10]. The possibility of the oscillations $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_s$ and $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_s$ are strongly excluded by the analysis. However, the result of the LSND experiment causes the maximum of the three mass squared differences, and gives grounds for four-neutrino models. The upcoming MiniBooNE experiments [11] may lead to a conclusion about this discrepancy. Whatever conclusion the experiment leads to, it is useful to consider the neutrino oscillations with the sterile neutrino in conditions different from that of the experiment. We calculate the matter effects for the four-neutrino oscillation in the analytical formalism, irrespective of the concrete data of recent experiments. The result of the calculation will give a new point of view of the four neutrino flavors.

The neutrino oscillation pattern in vacuum can get modified when the neutrinos pass through matter. This is known as the Mikheyev–Smirnov–Wolfenstein (MSW) effect [12], which can be described by an effective Hamiltonian. The interaction with the neutral currents occurs for three active neutrinos. Thus, for the three active neutrinos, one does not need to consider the interaction with the neutral currents [13]. But the sterile neutrino has neither the charged- nor the neutral-current interactions. This means that one needs to consider the effect of the matter interacting with the sterile neutrino and to introduce the 4×4 mixing matrix of four neutrinos which is an extension of the 3×3 Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata (MNS) matrix [14].

Analytical calculations of active three-neutrino oscillations in matter have been performed [15]. In this article, we derive analytically the transition probabilities for fourneutrino oscillations. Our calculations include the effects of the interaction with charged and neutral currents.

The outline of the article is as follows. In Sect. 2, two kinds of bases to express four-neutrino states are introduced. These bases are connected by a mixing matrix. To describe neutrino oscillations in matter, the effective Hamiltonian with a charged current and a neutral current is introduced. In Sect. 3, we calculate the transition probabilities from the effective Hamiltonian. In order to derive the transition probabilities, we make use of the Cayley– Hamilton theorem and the formula for the root of the biquadratic equation. In Sect. 4, the transition probabilities are concretely computed in two cases of the four-neutrino oscillation schemes. Finally, in Sect. 5, we discuss the effects of four-neutrino oscillations in matter.

2 Formalism

2.1 Two bases and the mixing matrix

Neutrinos are produced in the flavor eigenstates $|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle$ ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau, s$). Between the source and the detector, the neutrinos evolve as mass eigenstates $|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle$ (a = 1, 2, 3, 4). There are two kinds of eigenstates: $|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle$ and $|\nu_{a}\rangle$. These eigenstates are defined by neutrino fields ν_{α} and ν_{a} corresponding to each eigenstate: $\nu^{\dagger}|0\rangle \equiv |\nu\rangle$, $|\nu_{\alpha}\rangle \equiv |\alpha\rangle$, $|\nu_{a}\rangle \equiv |a\rangle$,

^a e-mail: kamo@sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} C_{12}C_{13}C_{14} & C_{13}C_{14}S_{12} & C_{14}S_{13} & S_{14} \\ -C_{23}C_{24}S_{12} & C_{12}C_{23}C_{24} & C_{13}C_{24}S_{23} \\ -C_{12}C_{24}S_{13}S_{23} & -C_{24}S_{12}S_{13}S_{23} & -S_{13}S_{14}S_{24} \\ -C_{12}C_{13}S_{14}S_{24} & -C_{13}S_{12}S_{14}S_{24} & -S_{13}S_{14}S_{24} \\ -C_{12}C_{23}C_{34}S_{13} & -C_{23}C_{34}S_{12}S_{13} & \\ +C_{34}S_{12}S_{23} & -C_{12}C_{34}S_{23} & C_{13}C_{23}C_{34} \\ -C_{12}C_{13}C_{24}S_{14}S_{34} & -C_{13}C_{24}S_{12}S_{14}S_{34} & -C_{14}C_{24}S_{34} \\ +C_{23}S_{12}S_{24}S_{34} & -C_{12}C_{23}S_{24}S_{34} & -C_{13}S_{23}S_{24}S_{34} \\ +C_{12}S_{13}S_{23}S_{24}S_{34} & +S_{12}S_{13}S_{23}S_{24}S_{34} \\ +C_{12}C_{34}S_{12}S_{24} & -C_{12}C_{23}C_{34}S_{24} \\ +C_{12}C_{23}S_{13}S_{34} & +C_{23}S_{12}S_{13}S_{34} & -C_{13}C_{24}S_{33}S_{24} \\ +C_{12}C_{23}S_{13}S_{34} & +C_{23}S_{12}S_{13}S_{34} \\ -S_{12}S_{23}S_{34} & +C_{12}S_{23}S_{34} \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(11)$$

where the vacuum state is given by $|0\rangle$. In the present analysis, we will use the plane wave approximation of the fields. In this approximation, the neutrino flavor field ν_{α} is expressed by a linear combination of neutrino mass fields ν_{a} :

$$\nu_{\alpha} = \sum_{a=1}^{4} U_{\alpha a} \nu_{a}, \qquad (1)$$

where U is a 4×4 unitary matrix with the elements $U_{\alpha a}$. If we write this relation in the neutrino eigenstates, then

$$|\alpha\rangle = \sum_{a=1}^{4} U_{\alpha a}^{*} |a\rangle.$$
⁽²⁾

An arbitrary neutrino state ψ is expressed in both the flavor and mass bases by

$$\psi \equiv \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau,s} \psi_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau,s} \psi_{\alpha} \sum_{a=1}^{4} U_{\alpha a}^{*} |a\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{a=1}^{4} \left(\sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau,s} \psi_{\alpha} U_{\alpha a}^{*} \right) |a\rangle = \sum_{a=1}^{4} \psi_{a} |a\rangle, \qquad (3)$$

where ψ_{α} and ψ_{a} are the components of ψ of the flavor eigenstate basis and the mass eigenstate basis, respectively. They are related:

$$\psi_a = \sum_{\alpha = e, \mu, \tau, s} U^*_{\alpha a} \psi_{\alpha}. \tag{4}$$

If we define the matrix elements by

$$\psi_f = (\psi_\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_e \\ \psi_\mu \\ \psi_\tau \\ \psi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_m = (\psi_a) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

$$U = (U_{\alpha a}) = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} & U_{e4} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} & U_{\mu 4} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} & U_{\tau 4} \\ U_{s1} & U_{s2} & U_{s3} & U_{s4} \end{pmatrix},$$
(6)

the relation between the flavor and the mass eigenstates is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \\ \nu_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} \ U_{e2} \ U_{e3} \ U_{e4} \\ U_{\mu 1} \ U_{\mu 2} \ U_{\mu 3} \ U_{\mu 4} \\ U_{\tau 1} \ U_{\tau 2} \ U_{\tau 3} \ U_{\tau 4} \\ U_{s1} \ U_{s2} \ U_{s3} \ U_{s4} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \\ \nu_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(7)

The unitary matrix U is the mixing matrix of four neutrinos. There are six mixing angles and three phases that are parameters of U, in the case of four neutrinos. In this analysis, we ignore the CP violation by putting the phases equal to zero. Then U is a real orthogonal matrix [15].

A parameterization for $U = U(\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{14}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{24}, \theta_{34})$ is given by

$$U = U_{34}U_{24}U_{14}U_{23}U_{13}U_{12}, (8)$$

where the matrix elements are

$$(U_{ij})_{ab} = \delta_{ab} + (C_{ij} - 1)(\delta_{ia}\delta_{ib} + \delta_{ja}\delta_{jb}) + S_{ij}(\delta_{ia}\delta_{jb} - \delta_{ja}\delta_{ib}),$$
(9)

$$C_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}, \quad S_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij},$$
 (10)

and the mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{13} , θ_{14} , θ_{23} , θ_{24} , θ_{34} [16,17]. By this definition, the mixing matrix becomes (11) (see (11) on top of the page).

2.2 Hamiltonian in matter

In the mass eigenstate basis, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_0 participating in the propagation of neutrinos in vacuum is given by Y. Kamo et al.: Analytical calculations of four-neutrino oscillations in matter

$$\mathcal{H}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & E_{2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & E_{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_{4} \end{pmatrix},$$
(12)

where E_a (a = 1, 2, 3, 4) are the energies of the neutrino mass eigenstates $|a\rangle$ with mass m_a :

$$E_a = \sqrt{m_a^2 + \boldsymbol{p}^2}.\tag{13}$$

Here and hereafter we assume the momentum p to be the same for all mass eigenstates.

There are two kinds of additional potentials for describing the interactions between neutrinos and matter. One is the interaction of the charged particles (electrons) and its neutrino ν_e :

$$V_e = \sqrt{2G_F} \operatorname{diag}(N_e, 0, 0, 0).$$
 (14)

The other is the interaction of the neutral particles (e.g. the neutron) and active neutrinos $(\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$:

$$V_{n0} = \sqrt{2}G_{\rm F} \,\operatorname{diag}\left(-\frac{1}{2}N_n, -\frac{1}{2}N_n, -\frac{1}{2}N_n, 0\right), \quad (15)$$

where $G_{\rm F}$, N_e and N_n are the Fermi weak coupling constant, the electron number density and the neutral particle number density, respectively. Note that we assume the particle number densities to be constant throughout the matter where the neutrinos are propagating.

The interaction term (15) can be separated into two parts as follows:

$$V_{n0} = V_n + V',$$
 (16)

$$V_n = \sqrt{2}G_F \operatorname{diag}\left(0, 0, 0, +\frac{1}{2}N_n\right),$$
 (17)

$$V' = \sqrt{2}G_{\rm F} \, \operatorname{diag}\left(-\frac{1}{2}N_n, -\frac{1}{2}N_n, -\frac{1}{2}N_n, -\frac{1}{2}N_n\right). \tag{18}$$

These interaction terms are written by the flavor eigenstate basis. Therefore the interaction terms in the flavor eigenstate basis must be transformed into those in the mass eigenstate basis by the mixing matrix U. The interaction terms in the mass eigenstate basis are

$$U^{-1}V_{e}U = A_{e} \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1}^{2} & U_{e1}U_{e2} & U_{e1}U_{e3} & U_{e1}U_{e4} \\ U_{e2}U_{e1} & U_{e2}^{2} & U_{e2}U_{e3} & U_{e2}U_{e4} \\ U_{e3}U_{e1} & U_{e3}U_{e2} & U_{e3}^{2} & U_{e3}U_{e4} \\ U_{e4}U_{e1} & U_{e4}U_{e2} & U_{e4}U_{e3} & U_{e4}^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$
$$U^{-1}V_{n}U = A_{n} \begin{pmatrix} U_{s1}^{2} & U_{s1}U_{s2} & U_{s1}U_{s3} & U_{s1}U_{s4} \\ U_{s2}U_{s1} & U_{s2}^{2} & U_{s2}U_{s3} & U_{s2}U_{s4} \\ U_{s3}U_{s1} & U_{s3}U_{s2} & U_{s3}^{2} & U_{s3}U_{s4} \\ U_{s4}U_{s1} & U_{s4}U_{s2} & U_{s4}U_{s3} & U_{s4}^{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$
$$U^{-1}V'U = -A_{n}I, \quad (21)$$

where I is the 4×4 unit matrix, and the matter densities A_e, A_n and A are defined by

$$A_e = \sqrt{2G_{\rm F}}N_e \equiv A,\tag{22}$$

$$A_{n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} G_{\rm F} N_{n} = \frac{1}{2} A \frac{N_{n}}{N_{e}}.$$
 (23)

Thus, the Hamiltonian in the case when the neutrinos propagate in matter is

$$\mathcal{H}_m = \mathcal{H}_0 + U^{-1} V_e U + U^{-1} V_n U - A_n I.$$
 (24)

3 Calculations of the neutrino transition probabilities

The transition probabilities are represented by the timeevolution operator. In the flavor state basis, the unitary transformation from the initial state $\psi_f(t=0)$ to the final state $\psi_f(t)$ is given by the operator

$$U_f(t) \equiv U_f(t,0), \tag{25}$$

where $U_f(t_2, t_1)$ is the time-evolution operator from time t_1 to t_2 in the flavor state basis. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{flavor}}$ in the flavor state basis is represented by using the mixing matrix U and the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_m in the mass state basis:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{flavor}} = U \mathcal{H}_m U^{-1}.$$
 (26)

The Schrödinger equation in the mass eigenstate basis is

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\psi_m(t) = \mathcal{H}_m\psi_m(t).$$
(27)

Equation (27) has the solution

$$\psi_m(t) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{H}_m t}\psi_m(0), \qquad (28)$$

where $e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m t}$ is the time-evolution operator. Inserting t = L into (28), the solution of the Schrödinger equation (27) is

$$\psi_m(L) = \psi_m(t) \Big|_{t=L} = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{H}_m L} \psi_m(0) \equiv U_m(L)\psi_m(0),$$
(29)

where L stands for the distance through which neutrinos run in the time t, because the speed of neutrinos is almost equal to that of light.

The neutrino state $\psi_f(L)$ at t = L in the flavor state basis is expressed by

$$\psi_f(L) = U\psi_m(L)$$

= $U e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m L} \psi_m(0)$
= $U e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m L} U^{-1} \psi_f(0)$
= $U_f(L) \psi_f(0)$. (30)

3.1 Traceless matrix T

In order to find the explicit form of the time-evolution operator $e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m t}$, which is the exponential of the matrix, the Hamiltonian in the matrix form is separated into diagonal and traceless matrices. The trace of the matrix \mathcal{H}_m in (24) is

$$tr\mathcal{H}_m = E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + A_e - 3A_n, \quad (31)$$

where we use the unitarity conditions, e.g. $U_{e1}^2 + U_{e2}^2 + U_{e3}^2 + U_{e4}^2 = 1$.

An arbitrary $N \times N$ matrix M can always be written as

$$M = M_0 + \frac{1}{N} (\operatorname{tr} M) I_N, \qquad (32)$$

where M_0 and I_N are $N \times N$ traceless and unit matrices, respectively. Note that $\operatorname{tr} M_0 = 0$. Then the 4×4 matrix \mathcal{H}_m can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_m = T + \frac{1}{4} (\mathrm{tr}\mathcal{H}_m)I, \qquad (33)$$

where $I_4 = I$ and the matrix T is traceless. The matrix T can be written as

$$T = \mathcal{H}_{0} - \frac{1}{4} (E_{1} + E_{2} + E_{3} + E_{4})I + U^{-1}V_{e}U + U^{-1}V_{n}U$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} (A_{e} + A_{n})I$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} E_{1,234} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_{2,134} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_{3,124} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_{4,123} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ A_{e} \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} & U_{e1}U_{e2} & U_{e1}U_{e3} & U_{e1}U_{e4} \\ U_{e2}U_{e1} & U_{e2}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} & U_{e2}U_{e3} & U_{e2}U_{e4} \\ U_{e3}U_{e1} & U_{e3}U_{e2} & U_{e3}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} & U_{e3}U_{e4} \\ U_{e4}U_{e1} & U_{e4}U_{e2} & U_{e4}U_{e3} & U_{e4}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ A_{n} \begin{pmatrix} U_{s1}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} & U_{s1}U_{s2} & U_{s1}U_{s3} & U_{s1}U_{s4} \\ U_{s2}U_{s1} & U_{s2}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} & U_{s2}U_{s3} & U_{s2}U_{s4} \\ U_{s3}U_{s1} & U_{s3}U_{s2} & U_{s3}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} & U_{s3}U_{s4} \\ U_{s4}U_{s1} & U_{s4}U_{s2} & U_{s4}U_{s3} & U_{s4}^{2} - \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where E_{ab} $(a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4, a \neq b)$ and $E_{k,lmn}$ (k, l, m, n = 1, 2, 3, 4) are defined by

$$E_{ab} \equiv E_a - E_b, \quad E_{k,lmn} \equiv E_{kl} + E_{km} + E_{kn}, \quad (35)$$

respectively. The energy differences E_{ab} are not linearly independent, since they obey the following relations:

$$E_{ab} = -E_{ba}, \quad E_{12} + E_{23} + E_{31} = 0,$$

$$E_{12} + E_{24} + E_{41} = 0, \quad E_{13} + E_{34} + E_{41} = 0.$$

Thus, only three of the E_{ab} s are linearly independent.

Therefore the time-evolution operator $e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m t}$ can be rewritten using the traceless matrix T:

$$U_m(L) = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m L} = \phi e^{-iTL}, \qquad (36)$$

where $\phi = e^{-i(tr\mathcal{H}_m)L/4}$ is a phase factor.

3.2 The Cayley–Hamilton theorem

In order to find the concrete form of the definite matrix e^{-iTL} , we use the Cayley–Hamilton theorem. The exponential of the 4×4 matrix T can be expressed by an infinite series:

$$e^{-iTL} = k_0 I + k_1 T + k_2 T^2 + k_3 T^3 + k_4 T^4 + \cdots$$
 (37)

where $k_n = (-iL)^n/n!$ $(n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \cdots)$. The Cayley– Hamilton theorem implies that the eigenvalue λ in the characteristic equation

$$\det(T - \lambda I_4) = \lambda^4 + c_3 \lambda^3 + c_2 \lambda^2 + c_1 \lambda + c_0 = 0 \quad (38)$$

of the matrix T can be replaced with T to give

$$T^4 + c_3 T^3 + c_2 T^2 + c_1 T + c_0 = 0, (39)$$

where the c_j (j = 0, 1, 2, 3) are coefficients. Using (39) repeatedly, the matrix e^{-iTL} can formally be written in the form

$$e^{-iTL} = a_0 I + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + a_3 T^3,$$
(40)

where the a_j (j = 0, 1, 2, 3) are coefficients which differ from k_n and c_j in general.

Because T is a definite matrix, we need to find the coefficients a_j explicitly in order to obtain the matrix e^{-iTL} . If the characteristic equation (38) has four solutions λ_k (k = 1, 2, 3, 4), one can write the eigenvalues of e^{-iTL} as

$$e^{-i\lambda_k L} = a_0 + a_1\lambda_k + a_2\lambda_k^2 + a_3\lambda_k^3.$$
 (41)

By defining the following matrices,

(

$$\boldsymbol{e} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-iL\lambda_{1}} \\ e^{-iL\lambda_{2}} \\ e^{-iL\lambda_{3}} \\ e^{-iL\lambda_{4}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - iL\lambda_{1} - L^{2}\lambda_{1}^{2} + iL^{3}\lambda_{1}^{3} \\ 1 - iL\lambda_{2} - L^{2}\lambda_{2}^{2} + iL^{3}\lambda_{2}^{3} \\ 1 - iL\lambda_{3} - L^{2}\lambda_{3}^{2} + iL^{3}\lambda_{3}^{3} \\ 1 - iL\lambda_{4} - L^{2}\lambda_{4}^{2} + iL^{3}\lambda_{4}^{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{0} \\ a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \end{pmatrix},$$
(42)

(41) is written in the matrix form $e = \Lambda a$. Then one obtains the coefficient a,

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \boldsymbol{e}. \tag{43}$$

Therefore, we should find the eigenvalues λ_k of the matrix T in order to know \boldsymbol{a} .

3.3 Characteristic equation of the matrix T

.

In order to obtain the eigenvalues λ_k of the matrix T, one must solve the characteristic equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} T_{11} - \lambda & T_{12} & T_{13} & T_{14} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} - \lambda & T_{23} & T_{24} \\ T_{31} & T_{32} & T_{33} - \lambda & T_{34} \\ T_{41} & T_{42} & T_{43} & T_{44} - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \lambda^4 + c_3 \lambda^3 + c_2 \lambda^2 + c_1 \lambda + c_0 = 0.$$
(44)

The coefficient c_0 is the determinant of T, c_1 and c_2 are expressed by the sum of the cofactors of the diagonal elements of T, and c_3 is given by the trace of T,

$$\begin{split} c_0 &= \det T, \\ c_1 &= -\operatorname{cof} T_{(1)} - \operatorname{cof} T_{(2)} - \operatorname{cof} T_{(3)} - \operatorname{cof} T_{(4)}, \\ c_2 &= \operatorname{cof} T_{(12)} + \operatorname{cof} T_{(13)} + \operatorname{cof} T_{(14)} + \operatorname{cof} T_{(23)} \\ &+ \operatorname{cof} T_{(24)} + \operatorname{cof} T_{(34)}, \\ c_3 &= -\operatorname{tr} T = 0, \end{split}$$

where the cofactors $\operatorname{cof} T_{(p)}$ $(p = 1, \dots, 4)$ of T_{pp} and $\operatorname{cof} T_{(rs)}$ $(1 \leq r < s \leq 4)$ of T_{rr} and T_{ss} are determinants of 3×3 and 2×2 matrices, respectively, e.g.

$$\operatorname{cof} T_{(2)} = \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4=1}^{4} \epsilon_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} T_{1 p_1} \delta_{2 p_2} T_{3 p_3} T_{4 p_4},$$

$$\operatorname{cof} T_{(13)} = \sum_{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4=1}^{4} \epsilon_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} \delta_{1 p_1} T_{2 p_2} \delta_{3 p_3} T_{4 p_4}.$$

The four roots of the biquadratic equation (44) are given from the solutions of the two quadratic equations [18]

$$X^{2} \pm \sqrt{t_{0} - c_{2}}X + \frac{t_{0}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{t_{0}^{2}}{2} - c_{0}} = 0, \qquad (45)$$

where t_0 is one of the real roots of the cubic equation

$$t^{3} - c_{2}t^{2} - 4c_{0}t + 4c_{0}c_{2} - c_{1}^{2} = 0.$$
 (46)

Note that $c_3 = -\text{tr}T = 0$ due to the definition of T.

3.4 Calculation of time-evolution operator

From (36) and (40), the time-evolution operator is written as

$$U_m(L) = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m L} = \phi e^{-iTL}$$

$$= \phi \left[a_0 I + (-iLT)a_1 - L^2 T^2 a_2 + iL^3 T^3 a_3 \right].$$
(47)

The coefficients a_j are given by (43), as follows:

$$a_{0} = -\frac{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}}{\lambda_{12}\lambda_{13}\lambda_{14}} e^{-iL\lambda_{1}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}}{\lambda_{21}\lambda_{23}\lambda_{24}} e^{-iL\lambda_{2}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\lambda_{4}}{\lambda_{31}\lambda_{32}\lambda_{34}} e^{-iL\lambda_{3}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{41}\lambda_{42}\lambda_{43}} e^{-iL\lambda_{4}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{\mathrm{i}}{L} \left(\frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + \lambda_3 \lambda_4}{\lambda_{12} \lambda_{13} \lambda_{14}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_1} \right. \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + \lambda_3 \lambda_4}{\lambda_{21} \lambda_{23} \lambda_{24}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_2} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + \lambda_2 \lambda_4}{\lambda_{31} \lambda_{32} \lambda_{34}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_3} \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3}{\lambda_{41} \lambda_{42} \lambda_{43}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_4} \right), \\ a_2 &= \frac{1}{L^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4}{\lambda_{12} \lambda_{13} \lambda_{14}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4}{\lambda_{21} \lambda_{23} \lambda_{24}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_4}{\lambda_{31} \lambda_{32} \lambda_{34}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_3} + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}{\lambda_{41} \lambda_{42} \lambda_{43}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_4} \right), \\ a_3 &= \frac{-\mathrm{i}}{L^3} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{12} \lambda_{13} \lambda_{14}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{21} \lambda_{23} \lambda_{24}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_{31} \lambda_{32} \lambda_{34}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{41} \lambda_{42} \lambda_{43}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{ab} \equiv \lambda_a - \lambda_b$. Inserting these results into (47), one can find the time-evolution operator in terms of the λ_a s. For example, the term containing $e^{-iL\lambda_1}$ is

$$-\left\{ \left(\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}I - (\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{4} + \lambda_{3}\lambda_{4})T + (\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4})T^{2} - T^{3}\right) \right. \\ \left. \left. \left(\lambda_{1}^{3} - (\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4})\lambda_{1}^{2} - (\lambda_{2}\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{4} + \lambda_{3}\lambda_{4})\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}\lambda_{3}\lambda_{4}\right) \right\} e^{-iL\lambda_{1}}.$$

$$(48)$$

Using the relations of the coefficients and solutions for the biquadratic equations,

$$_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = -c_3 = 0,$$
 (49)

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2\lambda_3 + \lambda_3\lambda_4 + \lambda_4\lambda_1 + \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_4 = c_2, \qquad (50)$$

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = -c_1, \quad (51)$$

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = c_0, \qquad (52)$$

(48) can be written as

. .

$$\frac{(c_1 + c_2\lambda_1 + {\lambda_1}^3)I + (c_2 + {\lambda_1}^2)T + {\lambda_1}T^2 + T^3}{4{\lambda_1}^3 + c_1 + 2c_2\lambda_1} e^{-iL\lambda_1}.$$
(53)

Therefore, the matrix e^{-iTL} is given by

$$e^{-iTL} = \sum_{a=1}^{4} B_a e^{-iL\lambda_a},$$

$$B_a \equiv \frac{(c_1 + c_2\lambda_a + \lambda_a^{-3})I + (c_2 + \lambda_a^{-2})T + \lambda_a T^2 + T^3}{4\lambda_a^{-3} + c_1 + 2c_2\lambda_a}.$$
(54)
(54)
(54)
(54)
(55)

The time-evolution operator in the mass eigenstate is derived by

$$U_m(L) = e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m L} = \phi \sum_{a=1}^4 B_a e^{-iL\lambda_a}.$$
 (56)

Using the mixing matrix U, the time-evolution operator in the flavor eigenstate is given by

$$U_f(L) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{H}_f L} = U\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{H}_m L}U^{-1} = \phi \sum_{a=1}^4 \tilde{B_a} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_a}, \quad (57)$$

$$\tilde{B}_{a} \equiv U B_{a} U^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{(c_{1} + c_{2}\lambda_{a} + \lambda_{a}^{3})I + (c_{2} + \lambda_{a}^{2})\tilde{T} + \lambda_{a}\tilde{T}^{2} + \tilde{T}^{3}}{4\lambda_{a}^{3} + c_{1} + 2c_{2}\lambda_{a}},$$
(58)

where $\tilde{T} \equiv UTU^{-1}$.

3.5 Transition probabilities in matter

The probability amplitude is defined by

$$A_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle \beta | U_f(L) | \alpha \rangle, \quad \alpha, \beta = e, \mu, \tau, s.$$
 (59)

Inserting (57) into (59) the probability amplitude becomes

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = \phi \sum_{a=1}^{4} (\tilde{B}_a)_{\alpha\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}L\lambda_a},\tag{60}$$

$$(\tilde{B}_a)_{\alpha\beta} = \left\{ \left((c_1 + c_2\lambda_a + \lambda_a{}^3)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + (c_2 + \lambda_a{}^2)\tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_a{}^2(\tilde{T}^2)_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{T}^3)_{\alpha\beta} \right) / \left(4\lambda_a{}^3 + c_1 + 2c_2\lambda_a \right) \right\},$$

where

$$\langle \alpha | I | \beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \langle \alpha | \tilde{T} | \beta \rangle = \tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \langle \alpha | \tilde{T}^2 | \beta \rangle = (\tilde{T}^2)_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\langle \alpha | \tilde{T}^3 | \beta \rangle = (\tilde{T}^3)_{\alpha\beta}.$$
 (62)

Here $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta}$, $(\tilde{T}^2)_{\alpha\beta}$ and $(\tilde{T}^3)_{\alpha\beta}$ are all symmetric. The probability of the transition from the neutrino flavor α to the neutrino flavor β is defined by

$$P_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \left|A_{\alpha\beta}\right|^2 = A_{\alpha\beta}^* A_{\alpha\beta}.$$
 (63)

Using the definition of the probability amplitude (59), one finds

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{a=1}^{4} \sum_{b=1}^{4} (\tilde{B}_a)_{\alpha\beta} (\tilde{B}_b)_{\alpha\beta} e^{-iL(\lambda_a - \lambda_b)}, \qquad (64)$$

where the symmetry of T leads to $P_{\alpha\beta} = P_{\beta\alpha}$.

The probabilities for the oscillations in vacuum are given by setting $A_e = A_n = 0$ in the definition of the probability amplitude. From (24), one can find

$$\langle b|U_m(L)|a\rangle = \langle b|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{H}_m L}|a\rangle = \langle b|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\mathcal{H}_0 L}|a\rangle = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}E_a L}\delta_{ab},$$

$$a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_m|_{A_e=0,A_n=0} = \mathcal{H}_0$. Setting the following parameters:

$$x_{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{2} E_{ab} L,$$

$$\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{a} U_{\alpha a}{}^{2} U_{\beta a}{}^{2} + 2 \sum_{a < b} U_{\alpha a} U_{\beta a} U_{\alpha b} U_{\beta b}, \quad (65)$$

the probability amplitude $A_{\alpha\beta}$ and the probability $P_{\alpha\beta}$ of the transition are given by

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \beta | U_f(L) | \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta | U e^{-i\mathcal{H}_m L} U^{-1} | \alpha \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{a=1}^4 U_{\alpha a} U_{\beta a} e^{-iE_a L}, \qquad (66)$$

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{a < b} U_{\alpha a} U_{\beta a} U_{\alpha b} U_{\beta b} \sin^2 x_{ab}.$$
 (67)

The expression (57) gives the relations at L = 0:

$$\phi = e^{-iLtr\mathcal{H}_m/4} \big|_{L=0} = 1, \quad I = UU^{-1} = \sum_{a=1}^{4} \tilde{B}_a,$$
$$\delta_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \alpha | I | \beta \rangle = \sum_{a=1}^{4} (\tilde{B}_a)_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then we can rewrite the probabilities (64) for the oscillations in matter in a form analogous to (67) in the vacuum:

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{a=1}^{3}\sum_{b=a+1}^{4} (\tilde{B}_a)_{\alpha\beta} (\tilde{B}_b)_{\alpha\beta} \sin^2 \tilde{x}_{ab}, \quad (68)$$

where

$$\tilde{x}_{ab} = \frac{L}{2} (\lambda_a - \lambda_b). \tag{69}$$

If one sets $\alpha \neq \beta$, then it is written as

$$P_{\alpha\beta}(\alpha \neq \beta) = -4\sum_{a=1}^{3}\sum_{b=a+1}^{4} (\tilde{C}_{a})_{\alpha\beta} (\tilde{C}_{b})_{\alpha\beta} \sin^{2} \tilde{x}_{ab}, \quad (70)$$

$$\sum_{\alpha} (c_{2} + \lambda_{a}^{2})\tilde{T}_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_{a} (\tilde{T}^{2})_{\alpha\beta} + (\tilde{T}^{3})_{\alpha\beta} d\beta$$

$$(\tilde{C}_a)_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{(c_2 + \lambda_a) I_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda_a (I^{-})_{\alpha\beta} + (I^{-})_{\alpha\beta}}{4\lambda_a^3 + c_1 + 2c_2\lambda_a}.$$
 (71)

From unitarity, (68) gives the relations

$$P_{ee} + P_{e\mu} + P_{e\tau} + P_{es} = 1, \tag{72}$$

$$P_{\mu e} + P_{\mu \mu} + P_{\mu \tau} + P_{\mu s} = 1, \qquad (73)$$

$$P_{\tau e} + P_{\tau \mu} + P_{\tau \tau} + P_{\tau s} = 1, \tag{74}$$

$$P_{se} + P_{s\mu} + P_{s\tau} + P_{ss} = 1, \tag{75}$$

where

$$P_{e\mu} = P_{\mu e}, \quad P_{e\tau} = P_{\tau e}, \quad P_{\mu\tau} = P_{\tau\mu}, \quad P_{es} = P_{se}, P_{\mu s} = P_{s\mu}, \quad P_{\tau s} = P_{s\tau}.$$
 (76)

Hence, there are only six independent transition probabilities.

4 Four-neutrino oscillations in matter

We apply some results obtained in the previous section to the four-neutrino oscillation models. The probability



Fig. 1a,b. Two mass patterns of the four-neutrino schemes. a (3 + 1)-scheme, b (2 + 2)-scheme

(68) for the transition of a four-neutrino oscillation in matter contains the neutrino energy differences $E_{ab} = E_a - E_b$ (a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4) in vacuum. These energy differences are approximately given by the matter mass differences $\Delta m_{ab}^2 = m_a^2 - m_b^2$, which are well-known quantities in various kinds of the neutrino experiments. From the on-shell condition (13),

$$\Delta m_{ab}{}^{2} = m_{a}{}^{2} - m_{b}{}^{2} = E_{a}{}^{2} - E_{b}{}^{2} = (E_{a} - E_{b})(E_{a} + E_{b})$$
$$= 2E_{ab}\frac{E_{a} + E_{b}}{2}.$$
(77)

Now, we assume the average E of the neutrino energies is about 10 GeV:

$$E = \frac{E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4}{4} \sim 10 \,\text{GeV},\tag{78}$$

which corresponds to the neutrino energy used in [15]. One defines the difference δ between two kinds of averages of two neutrino energies, e.g., the average $(E_1 + E_2)/2$ of the neutrino #1 and #2 and the average $(E_3 + E_4)/2$ of the neutrino #3 and #4. We assume that the difference $\delta = (E_3 + E_4)/2 - (E_1 + E_2)/2$ is about 1 eV which is estimated from the result of the LSND experiment. Then

$$E = \frac{\frac{E_1 + E_2}{2} + \frac{E_3 + E_4}{2}}{2} = \left(\frac{E_1 + E_2}{2}\right) + \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

It is found for $\delta \ll E$ that

$$E_{ab} \simeq \frac{\Delta m_{ab}^2}{2E}.$$
(79)

In a four-neutrino oscillation analysis, there are three kinds of mass squared differences. They are used as the parameters in the solar and atmospheric oscillations and the LSND experiment, which are represented by $\Delta m_{\rm solar}^2$, $\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2$ and $\Delta m_{\rm LSND}^2$, respectively. Using these mass squared differences, one can consider several distinct types of mass patterns [13]. They are classified into the so-called (3+1)-scheme and the (2+2)-scheme. We concentrate the discussion on two of the several mass patterns in Fig. 1. The phenomenology and the mixing matrix depend on the type of the mass schemes.

4.1 (3 + 1)-scheme

/

We assume the mass patterns shown in Fig. 1a, in which there are three close masses and one distinct mass. Let m_4 and Δm_{43}^2 be the distinct mass and the largest mass squared difference, respectively.

First, three kinds of neutrino mass squared difference are put as follows [15,17]:

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = \Delta m_{\rm solar}^2 \simeq 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{eV}^2,$$
 (80)

$$\Delta m_{32}^2 = \Delta m_{\rm atm}^2 \simeq 10^{-3} \, {\rm eV}^2, \tag{81}$$

$$\Delta m_{41}^2 = \Delta m_{\rm LSND}^2 \simeq 1 \,{\rm eV}^2. \tag{82}$$

Then the energy differences E_{ab} are expressed by

$$E_{21} \simeq \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2E}, \quad E_{32} \simeq \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{2E}, \quad E_{41} \simeq \frac{\Delta m_{41}^2}{2E}, \\ E_{31} = E_{32} + E_{21}, \quad E_{42} = E_{41} - E_{21}, \\ E_{43} = E_{41} - E_{31}, \tag{83}$$

where we suppose that the average E of the neutrino energies is 10 GeV.

Next, we consider the approximate mixing matrix for the (3 + 1)-scheme [17]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_{e} \\ \nu_{\mu} \\ \nu_{\tau} \\ \nu_{s} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \epsilon & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \epsilon & 0 & \sin \epsilon \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \epsilon & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \epsilon & 0 & \cos \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{1} \\ \nu_{2} \\ \nu_{3} \\ \nu_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (84)$$

where ϵ is small: $0 \le \epsilon \le 0.1$. Here the 3×3 sub-matrix that describes the mixing of the three active neutrinos has the bimaximal form. The mixing matrix of (84) is given from (11) by taking

$$\theta_{12} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta_{13} = 0, \quad \theta_{14} = \epsilon, \quad \theta_{24} = 0, \\
\theta_{34} = 0.$$
(85)

As an illustration of the resonance phenomena, the energy differences $|\lambda_a - \lambda_b|$ $(a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4, a \neq b)$ are plotted as a function of the matter density A in Fig. 2. Here we assume that the electron number density N_e is equal to the neutral particle number density N_n : $N_e = N_n$. That is, $A_e = A$ and $A_n = A/2$ in (22) and (23). Note that λ_a s are the effective neutrino energies in matter. In Fig. 2, the resonances occur when the energy levels in the presence of matter approach the values of each other.

The transition probabilities (68) for the neutrino oscillations in matter as functions of the matter density A are shown in Fig. 3. They are some remarkable results showing the effects of the sterile neutrino. Here, we set the parameter $\eta = 1$. The parameter η is defined by L/E = $\eta \times (2R/10 \text{ GeV}) = \eta \times 6.46 \times 10^3 \text{ eV}^{-2}$, where 2R is the diameter of the earth: $R = 3.23 \times 10^{13} \text{ eV}^{-1} = 6378 \text{ km}$.

From Fig. 3, the following results can be derived. If there is a little mixing of the neutrino #1 and #4 in



the neutrino mass states, i.e., $\epsilon \neq 0$, the fourth- (sterile) neutrino effects appear as a resonance. For example, the probability P_{ee} has a little transition beyond the matter density $A \sim 10^{-14} \,\mathrm{eV}$ and a sharp drop at $A \sim 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{eV}$.

4.2 (2+2)-scheme

The mass pattern about the (2 + 2)-scheme is shown in Fig. 1b. We assume that both mass differences of the ν_e and ν_s and of the ν_{μ} and ν_{τ} are small. The three kinds of neutrino mass squared differences are put as follows:

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = \Delta m_{\rm LSND}^2 \simeq 1 \, {\rm eV}^2, \tag{86}$$

$$\Delta m_{32}^2 = \Delta m_{\rm atm}^2 \simeq 10^{-3} \,{\rm eV}^2, \tag{87}$$

$$\Delta m_{41}^2 = \Delta m_{\text{solar}}^2 \simeq 10^{-4} \,\text{eV}^2,\tag{88}$$

where the average E of the neutrino energies is treated as $10 \,\mathrm{GeV}$.

Fig. 2. The difference $|\lambda_a - \lambda_b|$ $(a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4, a \neq b)$ as a function of the matter density A for the (3+1)-scheme, where $\theta_{12} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{13} = 0$, $\theta_{14} = \epsilon$, $\theta_{24} = 0$, $\theta_{34} = 0$, $\Delta m_{21}^2 \simeq 10^{-4} \, \text{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{32}^2 \simeq 10^{-3} \, \text{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{41}^2 \simeq 1 \, \text{eV}^2$, $E = 10 \, \text{GeV}$ and $\epsilon = 0.1$

Fig. 3. The transition probabilities P_{ee} , P_{es} and P_{ss} as a function of the matter density A for the (3+1)-scheme, where $\theta_{12} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{13} = 0$, $\theta_{14} = \epsilon$, $\theta_{24} = 0$, $\theta_{34} = 0$, $\Delta m_{21}^2 \simeq 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{32}^2 \simeq 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{41}^2 \simeq 1 \text{ eV}^2$, E = 10 GeV and $L/E = 6.46 \times 10^3 \text{ eV}^{-2}$. The solid and broken lines show the transition probabilities for $\epsilon = 0.1, 0$, respectively

The approximate mixing matrix for the (2+2)-scheme [17] is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \\ \nu_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\epsilon & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\epsilon & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\epsilon & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\epsilon & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\epsilon & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\epsilon & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\epsilon & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\epsilon & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \\ \nu_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (89)$$

where ϵ is supposed to be small: $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 0.1$. These parameters resemble those in [17], except for $\theta_{13} = 0$. The mixing matrix in (89) for the (2+2)-scheme is given from (11) by taking

$$\theta_{14} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \theta_{12} = \epsilon, \quad \theta_{13} = 0, \quad \theta_{24} = 0, \\ \theta_{34} = 0. \tag{90}$$



Fig. 4. The differences $|\lambda_a - \lambda_b|$ (*a*, *b* = 1, 2, 3, 4) as a function of the matter density *A* for the (2+2)-scheme, where $\theta_{14} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{12} = \epsilon$, $\theta_{13} = 0$, $\theta_{24} = 0$, $\theta_{34} = 0$, $\Delta m_{21}^2 \simeq 1 \,\mathrm{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{32}^2 \simeq 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{41}^2 \simeq 10^{-4} \,\mathrm{eV}^2$, $E = 10 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ and $\epsilon = 0.1$

Fig. 5. The transition probabilities P_{ee} , $P_{e\mu}$ and P_{ss} as a function of the matter density A for the (2 + 2)-scheme, where $\theta_{14} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$, $\theta_{12} = \epsilon$, $\theta_{13} = 0$, $\theta_{24} = 0$, $\theta_{34} = 0$, $\Delta m_{21}^2 \simeq 1 \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{32}^2 \simeq 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, $\Delta m_{41}^2 \simeq 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$, E = 10 GeV and $L/E = 6.46 \times 10^3 \text{ eV}^{-2}$. The solid and broken lines show the transition probabilities for $\epsilon = 0.1, 0$, respectively

We take $\eta = 1$, as shown in the (3+1) scheme.

In the (2+2)-scheme, the results of the energy differences $|\lambda_a - \lambda_b|$ (a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4) are presented in Fig. 4 as a function of the matter density A. The transition probabilities $P_{\alpha\beta}$ for the neutrino oscillations in matter are shown in Fig. 5.

We assume that the two mixings between ν_e and ν_s and between ν_{μ} and ν_{τ} are maximal, and the other four mixings are minimal; see (90). Some transition probabilities $P_{\alpha\beta}$ are shown in Fig. 5, and $P_{e\tau}$ resembles $P_{e\mu}$ in the probability pattern. The transition between two neutrinos, of which the masses are clearly distinguished from each other, occurs beyond $A \sim 5 \times 10^{-11} \,\mathrm{eV}$.

5 Discussion

The main result of our analysis is given by the timeevolution operator (57) for the four neutrinos in matter. The time-evolution operator (57) in the flavor eigenstate is expressed as a finite sum of elementary functions in the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian (24). The transition probabilities in matter have been given by (68). We also have analyzed the matter effects of the transition probabilities, assuming that there are four kinds of neutrinos and that the fourth (sterile) neutrino has a little mixing with the other neutrinos.

The resonance between an active neutrino (ν_e, ν_μ) or ν_τ) and the sterile neutrino ν_s occurs at the matter density $A \simeq 10^{-10}$ eV. Is this matter density realistic? We consider about the density of the sun (see Appendix A). Using a solar model [20], the electron matter density A in the sun is 1.06×10^{-11} eV at the center of the sun and 2.78×10^{-16} eV at the surface of the sun, respectively. The average of the electron matter density in the sun is 1.40×10^{-13} eV. Thus, the electron matter density in the sun has values from 10^{-16} eV to 10^{-11} eV.



Fig. 6. The surviving probabilities P_{ss} of the sterile neutrino transition as a function of the rate η for the (3 + 1)-scheme and the (2 + 2)-scheme, where $L/E = \eta \times 6.46 \times 10^3 \,\mathrm{eV^{-2}}$. We compare P_{ss} at two kinds of the matter densities for $A = 1.40 \times 10^{-13}$ [eV] (the broken line) and $A = 10^{-10}$ [eV] (the solid line). The bold line is a 10-point running average of P_{ss} at the matter density for $A = 10^{-10}$ [eV]

Table 1. The electron matter densities of the sun and the earth. The value of A at $x = R/R_{sun} = 0.41$ corresponds to the average density in the sun. The mass density ρ is shown as $\rho = 2000m_eN_e$, where m_e is the electron mass, and we put the ratio of the electron mass to the nucleon mass 1 : 2000. We assume that the density in the earth is 5.52 g/cm^3

	$A \; [eV]$	$N_e \ [1/\mathrm{cm}^3]$	$\rho~[{\rm g/cm^3}]$
$R/R_{\rm sun} = 0$	1.06×10^{-11}	5.91×10^{25}	108
$R/R_{\rm sun} = 0.41$	1.40×10^{-13}	7.82×10^{23}	1.42
$R/R_{\rm sun} = 1$	2.78×10^{-16}	1.55×10^{21}	2.82×10^{-2}
the earth	5.44×10^{-13}	3.03×10^{24}	5.52

Our result $A \simeq 10^{-10}$ eV, at which the four-neutrino resonance occurs, is very large. Therefore, one may not observe the sterile neutrino resonance actually, even if it exists. To detect the fourth-neutrino resonance, one needs matter of which the density is about 10^{-10} eV.

In this paper, we set the neutrino energy to 10 GeV. For the other neutrino energies, the lower neutrino energy is reduced, the higher the density to observe the resonance between an active and sterile neutrinos becomes. Conversely, in order to find the resonance of the sterile neutrino in low density matter, very high energy neutrinos are necessary.

The average of the electron matter density in the earth is about $4.92 \times 10^{-13} \text{ eV}$ (see Appendix A). This is of the same order as the matter density of the sun. So it will be difficult to find the resonance of the sterile neutrino near the earth.

Figure 6 shows the transition probability P_{ss} , which is the sterile neutrino surviving probability, as a function of the parameter η for the (3 + 1)-scheme and the (2 + 2)scheme. From Fig. 6, the sterile neutrino transition occurs for $\eta > 10^{-4}$. The four-neutrino model will be tested more closely by the result of the upcoming experiments. In this paper, the possibility of a transition among four neutrino flavors in matter is analytically given. The transition from an active neutrino to a sterile one may be observed if the neutrino passes through matter which has a very high density. In the same condition, the reverse transition may occur. The above argument about the transition probability for fourneutrino oscillations tells that we may not actually see the sterile neutrino resonance, even if the sterile neutrino exists.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Professor T. Maekawa for valuable suggestions.

Appendix

A Electron number density in the natural system of units

In this paper, we refer to [19] for the physical constants. The electron number density N_e is connected with the matter density A by (22). Using the Fermi weak coupling constant $G_{\rm F}/(\hbar c)^3 = 1.17 \times 10^{-5} [{\rm GeV}^{-2}]$, we have

$$A[eV] = \sqrt{2}G_F N_e$$

= 1.27 × 10⁻⁴³ [eV · m³] · N_e[1/m³], (A1)

where $\hbar c = 197 [\text{MeV fm}]$.

We discuss the matter density in the sun, using a solar model [20]. From the model, the electron number density N_e depends on the distance R from the center of the sun:

$$N_e(x) = 98.19 \ N_A e^{-10.55x} \ [cm^{-3}], \quad x \equiv \frac{R}{R_{sun}},$$
 (A2)

where $N_{\rm A} = 6.02 \times 10^{23}$ and $R_{\rm sun}$ are the Avogadro constant and the radius of the sun, respectively.

In Table 1, the electron matter densities of the sun and the earth are listed. And we assume that the ratio of the electron mass to the nucleon mass is 1 : 2000, where the mass of electron is 9.11×10^{-31} [kg]. From Table 1, the electron number density in the sun has the values from 10^{-16} eV to 10^{-11} eV.

References

- Q.R. Ahmad et al. (SNO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 071301 (2001)
- 2. B.T. Cleveland et al., Astrophys. J. 496, 505 (1998)
- W. Hampel et al. (GALLEX Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 447, 127 (1999)
- 4. Y. Fukuda et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1683 (1996)
- Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1810 (1999)
- K. Scholberg, for the Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, presented at 8th International Workshop on Neutrino Telescopes, Venice, 1999, hep-ex/9905016

- J.N. Abdurashitov et al. (SAGE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 60, 055801 (1999)
- C. Athanassopoulos et al. (LSND Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3082 (1996)
- M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, C. Peña-Garay, Phys. Rev. D 64, 093001 (2001)
- M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 65, 093004 (2002)
- A. Bazarko, for the BooNE Collaboration, presented at the 31st International Conference on High Energy Physics, Amsterdam, 2002, hep-ex/0210020
- S.P. Mikheyev, A.Y. Smirnov, Yad. Fiz. **42**, 1441 (1985)
 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **42**, 913 (1985)]; S.P. Mikheyev, A.Y. Smirnov, Nuovo Cimento C **9**, 17 (1986); L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2369 (1978); L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 2634 (1979)
- S.M. Bilenky, C. Giunti, W. Grimus, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 43, 1 (1999)

- Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. 28, 870 (1962)
- T. Ohlsson, H. Snellman, J. Math. Phys. 41, 2768 (2000);
 42, 2345 (2001)
- V. Barger, Y.B. Dai, K. Whisnant, B.L. Young, Phys. Rev. D 59, 113010 (1999)
- V. Barger, B. Kayser, J. Learned, T. Weiler, K. Whisnant, Phys. Lett. B 489, 345 (2000)
- Mathematical Handbook of Formulas and Tables, edited by M.R. Spiegel (McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York 1968)
- Particle Data Group, C. Caso et al., Review of Particle Physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 3, 1 (1998)
- J.N. Bahcall, Neutrino astrophysics (Cambridge University Press, New York 1989), p. 101